

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0993-02  
Bill No.: SCS for HB 442  
Subject: Agriculture and Animals; Disabilities  
Type: Original  
Date: May 15, 2013

---

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the laws regarding individuals with mental disabilities.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

### **ASSUMPTION**

§§ 209.150, 209.152, and 209.200 - Service Dogs for Persons with Mental Disabilities:  
In response to a similar proposal, HCS for SCS for SB 33, the following responded.

Officials at the **Department of Mental Health (DMH)** state this section of the proposal would provide every person with a visual, aural, or other disability the same rights afforded to a person with no such disability to the full and free use of the streets, highways, sidewalks, walkways, public buildings, public facilities, and other public places as well as other accommodations.

DMH assumes this section of the proposal addresses issues related to trainers of service dogs, search and rescue dogs, etc. – giving them the right to be accompanied by dogs that are being trained. It further defines certain categories of service dogs.

DMH assumes there is no fiscal impact from this section of the proposal.

Officials at the **Department of Social Services (DSS)** assume this proposal extends to people with mental disabilities, the same rights that people with physical disabilities have with respect to service dogs.

DSS states the proposal extends to any adult "who has been trained to handle the service dog" the same rights as the disabled person.

DSS states the department already has a policy in place to accommodate employees and applicants for their disability or medical condition under Administrative Policy 2-123, known as the Work Adjustment/Accommodation Request.

DSS states that it abides by all state and federal laws relating to employment practices and work accommodations (Administrative Policy 2-102 Equal Employment opportunity).

DSS assumes there is no fiscal impact from this proposed legislation.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Bill as a Whole:

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture, Office of Administration** and **Department of Corrections** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to the previous version of this proposal, officials at the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations**, assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

**Oversight** assumes there is no direct fiscal impact from this proposed legislation on state or local government funds.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
---	---------------------	---------	---------

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
--	------------	------------	------------

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
---	---------------------	---------	---------

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
--	------------	------------	------------

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Mental Health  
Department of Social Services  
Department of Agriculture  
Department of Corrections  
Office of Administration  
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations



Ross Strobe  
Acting Director  
May 15, 2013